

Texana Groundwater Conservation District Meeting Notice and Agenda - Revised

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Open Meetings Act, Chapter 551, Government Code and Section 36.064 of the Texas Water Code that the Texana Groundwater Conservation District Board of Directors will hold a meeting on July 16, 2026, at 6:00 P.M. at the LNRA Headquarters, Building C, 4631 F.M. 3131, Edna, Texas.

AGENDA

1. Convene the Meeting and receive a report from the general manager.
2. Receive public comments.
3. Consideration of and possible action on matters related to groundwater management including the efforts and activities of the District regarding permitting, complaints, investigations, violations, and enforcement cases associated with permitting.
4. Consideration of and possible action on matters related to groundwater protection including complaints, investigations, violations, and enforcement cases related to groundwater contamination and waste.
5. Consideration of and possible action on matters related to groundwater monitoring.
6. Consideration of and possible action on matters related to groundwater conservation.
7. Consideration of and possible action on matters related to groundwater resource planning including Joint Planning of Groundwater Management Area 15, regional water planning, and proposed Desired Future Conditions (DFCs)
 - a. Public hearing regarding the proposed desired future conditions (DFCs) of Groundwater Management Area 15.
8. Consideration of and possible action on matters related to groundwater policy, including the Management Plan of the District, and the 2026 Proposed Rules of the District.
 - a. Rulemaking hearing regarding the 2026 Proposed Rules of the District that specify the regulations related to drilling and plugging water wells, registration of water wells, permitting groundwater production, historic-use of groundwater, non-historic-use of groundwater, high-capacity non-historic-use of groundwater, non-historic-use of deep-saline (brackish) groundwater, groundwater transfer, waivers and petitions regarding groundwater regulations, curtailment of groundwater production, district fees, enforcement of regulations, and procedures related to proceedings.
9. Consideration of and possible action on matters related to administration and management including the minutes of previous meetings, amendments to the annual budget of the district, bank accounts, investments, financial reports of the district, bills and invoices of the district, management goals and objectives of the district, administrative policies, staffing, consultant agreements, interlocal cooperation agreements, the financial audit for Fiscal Year 2025, the

Texana Groundwater Conservation District Meeting Notice and Agenda

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Open Meetings Act, Chapter 551, Government Code and Section 36.064 of the Texas Water Code that the Texana Groundwater Conservation District Board of Directors will hold a meeting on July 16, 2026, at 6:00 P.M. at the LNRA Headquarters, Building C, 4631 F.M. 3131, Edna, Texas.

Annual report of the District, budget preparation and tax rates, and support services provided to and from other groundwater conservation districts.

a. Filling the Vacancies of Director Positions of the District.

10. Consideration of and possible action on matters related to legal counsel report.

11. Adjourn.

The Texana Groundwater Conservation District may close the meeting, if necessary, to conduct private consultation with legal counsel regarding matters protected by the attorney-client privilege pursuant to Section 551.071 of the Government Code or to discuss matters regarding personnel pursuant to Section 551.074 of the Government Code. The Texana Groundwater Conservation District will return to open meeting, if necessary, to take any action deemed necessary based on discussion in closed meeting pursuant to Section 551.102 of the Government Code.

In Accordance with Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, we invite all attendees to advise us of any special accommodations due to disability. Please submit your request as far as possible in advance of event you wish to attend.

Item 1 - Convene Meeting

Table of Contents

1. Item 1 - Convene Meeting
 - a. Topic 1.1 Roll Call
 - b. Topic 1.2 General Manager Report
2. Item 2 - Receive Public Comment
3. Item 3 - Groundwater Management (Permitting) Considerations
4. Item 4 Groundwater Protection Considerations
5. Item 5 Groundwater Monitoring Considerations
 - a. Topic 5.1 - Water Quality Assessment
 - b. Topic 5.2 - Water Level Assessment
6. Item 6 Groundwater Conservation Considerations
7. Item 7 Groundwater Resource Planning Considerations
 - a. Topic 7.1 - Public Hearing regarding Proposed Amended Desired Future Condition for GMA 15
8. Item 8 - Groundwater Policy Considerations
 - a. Topic 8.1 Brackish Groundwater Characterization and Rule Development
 - b. Topic 8.2 Rulemaking Hearing
9. Item 9 - Administration and Management Considerations
 - a. Topic 9.1 - Minutes of Previous Meeting
 - b. Topic 9.2 Investments of the District
 - c. Topic 9.3 Financial Transaction Report
 - d. Topic 9.4 - Financial Reports of the District
 - e. Topic 9.5 - Unpaid Invoices and Bills
 - f. Topic 9.6 Interlocal Agreement for Tax Assessment and Collection
 - g. Topic 9.7 Budget Planning and Tax Rates
10. Item 10.0 - Legal Counsel Report
11. Item 11.0 - Adjourn Meeting

Topic 1.1 - Roll Call

Management Discussion:

Staff completed the necessary public notification requirements for the meeting.

TGCD - Meeting Notice - 20260716 - Final.pdf



File

TGCD - GMA 15 DFC Hearing Notice - 20260716.pdf



File

TGCD - Rulemaking Notice - 20260716.pdf



File

Management Recommendation:

Call the meeting to order and call the roll of representatives:

_____: Precinct 1 Director: Mr. Boone, Vice-President.
_____: Precinct 2 Director: Vacant.
_____: Precinct 3 Director: Mr. Clifford Born, Director.
_____: Precinct 4 Director: Mr. Marr, Treasurer.
_____: At Large 1 Director: Mr. Johnny Dugger, Director.
_____: At Large 2 Director: Mr. Tupa, President.
_____: At Large 3 Director: Mr. Robert Gendke, Jr., Secretary.
_____: General Manager: Tim Andruss.
_____: General Counsel: Jim Allison.

Topic 1.2 - General Manager Report

Groundwater Management (Permitting)

Regarding General Groundwater Permitting

Regarding Well Registration Processing

As of July 2, 2026, staff had received 39 well registration applications (ARWs) since October 1, 2025.

As of July 2, 2026, staff had received 31 Notices of Intent to Drill a Well (NIDWs) since October 1, 2025.

Regarding Production Permit Renewal Processing

As of July 2, 2026, staff had identified 13 permits due to expire during the fiscal year based on a review of the permitting database. Staff mailed courtesy notices regarding the pending expiration and the need to renew the subject permit to permittees in April 2026.

Regarding Permit Processing

As of July 1, 2026, staff had received 37 applications related to production permits since October 1, 2026.

As of July 1, 2026, staff had 20 administratively incomplete applications related to production permits:

Applications to amend a production permit: **NONE**

Applications to renew a production permit: **NONE**.

Applications for Standard-Capacity Non-Historic-Use Production Permit:

1. TGCD - GMa - Production Permit Request - ANHUPPW-20260515-01 - Jeff Dunbar - Administratively Incomplete
 - a. Permitting Request Type: **Non-Historic Use Application**
 - b. Requested Production Rates: **10 GPM, 0.496 AFY**
 - c. Requested Purpose of Use: **Commercial**

Applications for Historic-Use Production Permit:

1. TGCD - GMa - Production Permit Request - AVHUW-20260423-01 - High Cotton Land Comp - Administratively Incomplete
 - a. Permitting Request Type: **Protection of Historic-Use Well**

- b. Requested Production Rates: **1,230 AFY**
- c. Requested Purpose of Use: **Irrigation**
- 2. TGCD - GMa - Production Permit Request - AVHUW-20260430-01 - Trull Service Company - Administratively Incomplete
 - a. Permitting Request Type: **Protection of Historic-Use Well**
 - b. Requested Production Rates: **537.14 AFY**
 - c. Requested Purpose of Use: **Rice Irrigation, Row Crop Irrigation, Water Fowl Management**
- 3. TGCD - GMa - Production Permit Request - AVHUW-20260430-02- Trull Service Company - Administratively Incomplete
 - a. Permitting Request Type: **Protection of Historic-Use Well**
 - b. Requested Production Rates: **1,033.6 AFY**
 - c. Requested Purpose of Use: **Rice Irrigation, Row Crop Irrigation, Water Fowl Management**
- 4. TGCD - GMa - Production Permit Request - AVHUW-20260430-03 - Trull Service Company - Administratively Incomplete
 - a. Permitting Request Type: **Protection of Historic-Use Well**
 - b. Requested Production Rates: **1,033.6 AFY**
 - c. Requested Purpose of Use: **Rice Irrigation, Row Crop Irrigation, Water Fowl Management**
- 5. TGCD - GMa - Production Permit Request - AVHUW-20260430-04- Trull Service Company - Administratively Incomplete
 - a. Permitting Request Type: **Protection of Historic-Use Well**
 - b. Requested Production Rates: **1,033.6 AFY**
 - c. Requested Purpose of Use: **Rice Irrigation, Row Crop Irrigation, Water Fowl Management**
- 6. TGCD - GMa - Production Permit Request - AVHUW-20260514-01 - Stephen Stuhrenburg - Administratively Incomplete
 - a. Permitting Request Type: **Protection of Historic-Use Well**
 - b. Requested Production Rates: **663.4**
 - c. Requested Purpose of Use: **Rice Irrigation**
- 7. TGCD - GMa - Production Permit Request - AVHUW-20260518-01 - Charles Fenner - Administratively Incomplete
 - a. Permitting Request Type: **Protection of Historic-Use Well**
 - b. Requested Production Rates: **100 AFY**
 - c. Requested Purpose of Use: **Irrigation**
- 8. TGCD - GMa - Production Permit Request - AVHUW-20260518-02 - Charles Fenner - Administratively Incomplete
 - a. Permitting Request Type: **Protection of Historic-Use Well**
 - b. Requested Production Rates: **1,000 AFY**
 - c. Requested Purpose of Use: **Irrigation**

9. TGCD - GMa - Production Permit Request - AVHUW-20260527-01 - Wise Asset No.14 - Administratively Incomplete
 - a. Permitting Request Type: **Protection of Historic-Use Well**
 - b. Requested Production Rates: **1,613 AFY**
 - c. Requested Purpose of Use: **Rice Farming**
10. TGCD - GMa - Production Permit Request - AVHUW-20260528-01 - Wise Asset No.1 - Administratively Incomplete
 - a. Permitting Request Type: **Protection of Historic-Use Well**
 - b. Requested Production Rates: **2,903 AFY**
 - c. Requested Purpose of Use: **Rice Farming**
11. TGCD - GMa - Production Permit Request - AVHUW-20260528-02 - Wise Asset No.1 - Administratively Incomplete
 - a. Permitting Request Type: **Protection of Historic-Use Well**
 - b. Requested Production Rates: **3,226 AFY**
 - c. Requested Purpose of Use: **Rice Farming**
12. TGCD - GMa - Production Permit Request - AVHUW-20260528-03 - Wise Asset No.1 - Administratively Incomplete
 - a. Permitting Request Type: **Protection of Historic-Use Well**
 - b. Requested Production Rates: **1,613 AFY**
 - c. Requested Purpose of Use: **Rice Farming**
13. TGCD - GMa - Production Permit Request - AVHUW-20260528-04 - Wise Asset No.1 - Administratively Incomplete
 - a. Permitting Request Type: **Protection of Historic-Use Well**
 - b. Requested Production Rates: **1,451 AFY**
 - c. Requested Purpose of Use: **Rice Farming**
14. TGCD - GMa - Production Permit Request - AVHUW-20260528-05 - Wise Asset No.5 - Administratively Incomplete
 - a. Permitting Request Type: **Protection of Historic-Use Well**
 - b. Requested Production Rates: **1,613 AFY**
 - c. Requested Purpose of Use: **Rice Farming**
15. TGCD - GMa - Production Permit Request - AVHUW-20260528-06 - Wise Asset No.5 - Administratively Incomplete
 - a. Permitting Request Type: **Protection of Historic-Use Well**
 - b. Requested Production Rates: **2,903 AFY**
 - c. Requested Purpose of Use: **Rice Farming**
16. TGCD - GMa - Production Permit Request - AVHUW-20260528-07 - Wise Asset No.5 - Administratively Incomplete
 - a. Permitting Request Type: **Protection of Historic-Use Well**
 - b. Requested Production Rates: **1,613 AFY**
 - c. Requested Purpose of Use: **Rice Farming**
- 17.

TGCD - GMa - Production Permit Request - AVHUWF-20260415-01 - Edgar Erwin - Administratively Incomplete

- a. Permitting Request Type: **Protection of Historic-Use Well Field**
- b. Requested Production Rates: **3,000 AFY**
- c. Requested Purpose of Use: **Irrigation**

18. TGCD - GMa - Production Permit Request - AVHUWF-20260518-01 - Charles Fenner - Administratively Incomplete

- a. Permitting Request Type: **Protection of Historic-Use Well Field**
- b. Requested Production Rates: **1,350 AFY**
- c. Requested Purpose of Use: **Rice Irrigation**

19. TGCD - GMa - Production Permit Request - AVHUWS-20260430-01 - Trull Service Company - Administratively Incomplete

- a. Permitting Request Type: **Protection of Historic-Use Well System**
- b. Requested Production Rates: **3,368 AFY**
- c. Requested Purpose of Use: **Rice Irrigation, Row Crop Irrigation, Water Fowl Management**

Applications for High-Capacity Non-Historic-Use Production Permit: **NONE**.

Applications for Deep-Saline Non-Historic-Use Production Permit: **NONE**.

Applications for a waiver: **NONE**.

As of July 3, 2026, staff had designated 13 permit renewal applications administratively complete, but had not completed the assessment of regulatory consistency:

1. ARP-20260602-01 - Punta De Vista LLC

- a. Authorized Production Rates: **499 GPM, 364 AFY**
- b. Authorized Purpose of Use: **IRRIGATION AND WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT USE**

2. ARP-20260526-01 - Vanderbilt Farmers Co-Op Inc

- a. Authorized Production Rates: **50 GPM, 2.5 AFY**
- b. Authorized Purpose of Use: **COOLING TOWER USE**

3. ARP-20260520-01 - Stacey Easterday

- a. Authorized Production Rates: **15 GPM, 3.07 AFY**
- b. Authorized Purpose of Use: **COMMERCIAL AND DOMESTIC USE**

4. ARP-20260518-09 - LNRA

- a. Authorized Production Rates: **69 GPM, 4 AFY**
- b. Authorized Purpose of Use: **POTABLE AND SANITARY WATER SUPPLY USE**

5. ARP-20260518-08 - LNRA

- a. Authorized Production Rates: **120 GPM, 90 AFY**
- b. Authorized Purpose of Use: **IRRIGATION USE**

6. ARP-20260518-07 - LNRA

- a. Authorized Production Rates: **250 GPM, 5 AFY**
- b. Authorized Purpose of Use: **PUMP TEST USE**

7. ARP-20260518-06 - LNRA

- a. Authorized Production Rates: **101.4 GPM, 4 AFY**
- b. Authorized Purpose of Use: **PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY USE**
- 8. ARP-20260518-05 - LNRA
 - a. Authorized Production Rates: **80 GPM, 3 AFY**
 - b. Authorized Purpose of Use: **PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY USE**
- 9. ARP-20260518-04 - LNRA
 - a. Authorized Production Rates: **15.8 GPM, 10 AFY**
 - b. Authorized Purpose of Use: **PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY USE**
- 10. ARP-20260518-03 - LNRA
 - a. Authorized Production Rates: **98.2 GPM, 8 AFY**
 - b. Authorized Purpose of Use: **PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY USE**
- 11. ARP-20260518-02 - LNRA
 - a. Authorized Production Rates: **150 GPM, 10 AFY**
 - b. Authorized Purpose of Use: **PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY USE**
- 12. ARP-20260518-01 - Serenity RV Resort
 - a. Authorized Production Rates: **86 GPM, 5.6 AFY**
 - b. Authorized Purpose of Use: **WATER SUPPLY FOR RV RESORT USE**
- 13. ARP-20260513-01 - Texas Water Utility
 - a. Authorized Production Rates: **30 GPM, 48.39 AFY**
 - b. Authorized Purpose of Use: **PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY USE**

As of July 1, 2026, staff had initiated 10 permitting request cases (PRCs) since October 1, 2025.

As of July 1, 2026, staff had 4 permitting request cases pending.

- 1. PRC-20260427-01 - ANHUPPW-20260302-01 - B. Leita - Mr. Benjamin Leita seeks, under permitting request case PRC-20260427-01, a standard-capacity non-historic-use production permit authorizing the production of groundwater from a non-grandfathered well for RV Park and Livestock uses at rates not to exceed 16 gallons per minute or 1 acre-feet per year. The subject well NW-00123 is located on a 10.39 -acre tract of land near the intersection of Asbeck Road and FM 111 in Jackson County, Texas.
- 2. PRC-20260501-01 - AAP-20260225-01 - Curry Country Ranch - Mr. Carlos Bonnot seeks, under permitting request case PRC-20260506-01, an amendment to reflect change of ownership from Jonathan Winkler to Carlos Bonnot.
- 3. PRC-20260507-01- ANHUPPW-20260421-01 - Jackson Electric Coop - Ms. Missie Landry for Jackson Electric Coop seeks, under permitting request case PRC-20260507-01, a standard-capacity non-historic-use production permit authorizing the production of groundwater from a non-grandfathered well for commercial uses at rates not to exceed 65 gallons per minute or 4.5 acre-feet per year. The subject well NW-00721 is located on a 100.57 -acre tract of land near the intersection of County Road 305 and FM 3131 in Jackson County, Texas.

4. PRC-20260507-02 - AVUHW-20260421-01 - Angus Harrison - Mr. Angus Cruz seeks, under permitting request case PRC-20260507-02, a historic-use production permit authorizing the production of groundwater from a grandfathered well for rice irrigation and duck pond uses at 1,200 gallons per minute at 465 acre-feet per year. The subject well GW-00296 is located on a 133 -acre tract of land near the intersection of County Road 256 and County Road 272 in Jackson County, Texas.

As of July 1, 2026, staff had 196 active or approved production permits recorded in the permitting database with a combined amount of authorized groundwater production per year of 173,630 acre-feet.

Regarding Groundwater Production Report Processing

As of July 2, 2026, staff had processed 233 groundwater production reports for the preceding calendar year since October 1, 2025.

As of July 2, 2026, staff had recorded groundwater production reports for 233 water wells reporting 24,153.59 acre-feet of groundwater production during CY2025. (TWDB estimated the volume of groundwater produced for rural domestic, livestock, mining, and rig supply exempt uses in Jackson County in Year 2020 was 1,581 acre-feet. See: TWDB - Projected Exempt Groundwater Use Estimates.)

Regarding Manage Investigations related to Permitting Violations

As of July 1, 2025, staff had initiated 36 investigations related to groundwater management (i.e., permitting) since October 1, 2025.

As of July 1, 2025, staff had 36 active investigations related to groundwater management (i.e., permitting).

1. INV- 20251006-01 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Active
2. INV- 20251014-01 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
3. INV- 20251014-02 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
4. INV- 20251014-03 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
5. INV- 20251014-07 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
6. INV- 20251014-08 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
7. INV- 20251014-09 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
8. INV- 20251014-10 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
9. INV- 20251014-11 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
10. INV- 20251014-12 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
11. INV- 20251014-13 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
12. INV- 20251014-15 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
13. INV- 20251014-16 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
14. INV- 20251014-17 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
15. INV- 20251014-18 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
16. INV- 20251014-19 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
17. INV- 20251014-20 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
18. INV- 20251014-21 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active

19. INV- 20251014-22 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
20. INV- 20251014-23 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
21. INV- 20251014-26 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
22. INV- 20251014-27 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
23. INV- 20251014-28 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
24. INV- 20251014-29 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
25. INV- 20251014-30 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
26. INV- 20251014-31 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
27. INV- 20251014-32 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
28. INV- 20251014-33 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
29. INV- 20251014-34 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
30. INV- 20251014-35 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
31. INV- 20251014-36 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
32. INV- 20251014-37 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
33. INV- 20251014-38 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
34. INV- 20251014-39 - Unpermitted Non-Exempt-Use - Rice Irrigation - Active
35. INV- 20260205-01 - Failure to Satisfy Rules of the District - Production Reporting for CY2025 - Active
36. INV-20260505-01 - Production Permits - Unexecuted - Active

Regarding Manage Enforcement Cases related to Permitting Violations

As of July 1, 2026, the Board had initiated 0 enforcement case violations related to groundwater management (i.e., permitting) since October 1, 2025.

As of July 1, 2026, staff had 0 unresolved enforcement cases related to groundwater management (i.e., permitting).

Regarding Permit Report Processing

As of July 2, 2026, staff had processed 7 permit reports to permittees since October 1, 2025.

As of July 2, 2026, staff had 0 permit report outstanding.

Groundwater Protection

Regarding General Groundwater Protection

Regarding Well Inspections

As of July 2, 2026, staff had recorded 73 well inspection forms (WIFs) since October 1, 2025.

Regarding Manage Investigations related to Groundwater Protection

As of July 1, 2026, staff had initiated 2 investigations related to Groundwater Protection since October 1, 2025.

As of July 1, 2026, staff had 2 active investigations related to Groundwater Protection.

1. INV-20250207.1435 - Potential Groundwater Contamination - Active
2. INV-20260626.1320 - Uncapped Well GW-00295 - Active

Regarding Manage Enforcement Cases related to Groundwater Protection

As of July 1, 2026, the Board had initiated 0 enforcement case violations related to Groundwater Protection since October 1, 2025.

As of July 1, 2026, staff had 0 unresolved enforcement case violations related to Groundwater Protection.

Regarding Well Plugging Sponsorship

As of July 1, 2026, staff had received 0 requests for assistance with well plugging since October 1, 2025.

Groundwater Monitoring

Regarding General Groundwater Monitoring

Regarding Drought Condition Monitoring

As of July 1, 2026, the U.S. Drought Monitor (<https://www.drought.gov/states/texas/county/jackson>) indicates that 0% of Jackson County was experiencing drought conditions.

As of July 1, 2026, drought condition information related to the district and the surrounding region of Texas collected from the Water Data for Texas website (<https://www.waterdatafortexas.org/drought/>) indicates that 0% of Jackson County was experiencing drought conditions.

Regarding Water Level Monitoring

As of July 1, 2026, staff had collected 70 water level measurements since October 1, 2025.

Regarding Water Quality Aquifer Monitoring

As of July 1, 2026, staff had collected 54 water quality field measurements since October 1, 2025.

As of July 1, 2026, staff had collected 4 water quality samples since October 1, 2025.

As of July 1, 2026, staff had received 4 water quality lab reports since October 1, 2025.

Regarding Advanced Aquifer Monitoring

Regarding Water Level Assessment

Regarding Water Quality Assessment

Regarding Subsidence Assessment

Groundwater Conservation

Regarding General Groundwater Conservation

Regarding Promote Conservation

Groundwater Resource Planning

Regarding General Groundwater Resource Planning

Regarding Regional Water Planning Participation

On July 3, 2026, the general manager received notice that the Lavaca Regional Water Planning Group is scheduled to meet on July 13, 2026 at 12:00 PM at the LNRA Main Office.

Regarding GMA 15 Joint Planning

On May 13, 2026, the staff of the District completed the public notice requirements to conduct a public hearing to receive public comment and consider possible action on the proposed desired future conditions of Groundwater Management Area 15.

Regarding GMA 15 Administration

Groundwater Policy

Regarding General Groundwater Policy

Regarding Management Plan Revisions

Regarding Rule Amendments

On June 24, 2026, the staff of the District completed the public notice requirements to conduct a rulemaking hearing to receive public comment and consider possible action on the 2026 Proposed Rules of the District.

Regarding Legislative Support and Lobbying

On May 29, 2026, management virtually attended the TWA Groundwater Export/Large Production Subcommittee.

Administration

The next meetings of the Board is scheduled for August 20, 2026, with each meeting to convene at 6:00 PM. Regular meetings will be rescheduled as necessary, and special meeting may be scheduled to address unforeseen issues.

Item 2 - Receive Public Comment

Item 3 - Groundwater Management (Permitting)

Considerations

Item 4 - Groundwater Protection Considerations

Item 5 - Groundwater Monitoring Considerations

Topic 5.1 - Water Quality Assessment

Management Discussion:

On January 17, 2025, the Board authorized a cost-share arrangement with the cooperating districts to fund a project by DBSA to evaluate water quality across the Victoria, Jackson, Calhoun, and Refugio Counties to serve as a baseline for future evaluations and trend analysis. The project was funded at \$74,000, with \$55,500 contributed by the other cooperating districts. The District has paid \$66,288.45 to DBSA for the project to date.

On March 27, 2026, Neil Blandford of Daniel B. Stephens and Associates (DBSA) submitted the final report regarding the general groundwater quality within Victoria, Jackson, Calhoun, and Refugio Counties. The report is titled *Four County Groundwater Quality Study*. Through the completion of the project, DBSA compiled and documented water quality information regarding total dissolved solids concentration of groundwater, assigned formation information where possible, analyzed the data, and developed time-based water quality charts, and water quality contours for Calhoun, Jackson, Refugio, and Victoria Counties. DBSA provide recommendations

regarding monitoring that would be useful for improving water quality monitoring by the districts.

5.1.1 DBSA - Baseline Water Quality Report - Calhoun Jackson Refugio Victoria Counties.pdf



File

Management Recommendation:

Move to accept the report titled *Four County Groundwater Quality Study submitted by Daniel B. Stephens and Associates (DBSA)*.

Topic 5.2 - Water Level Assessment

Management Discussion:

On July 7, 2026, Mr. Boone identified a significant error in the management discussion presented to the Board in the meeting packet for the meeting held on April 16, 2026. In particular, the manager's discussion regarding the 5-year rolling averages of water level changes calculated from values within Table 3 from the INTERA report titled *Application of Geostatistical Techniques to Interpret Measured 2024 and 2025 Water Levels were erroneous*.

The image below, representing Table 3 from the report, documents the estimated changes in water levels computed for Jackson County since calendar year 2000.

Table 3 Average annual water level (ft, msl) and change in the average annual water level for Jackson County for the Chicot Aquifer, the Evangeline Aquifer and the Chicot & Evangeline aquifers

Aquifer	Water Level/ Change	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Chicot	avg. WL (ft)	21.3	19.3	23.4	26.5	26.5	29.3	23.0	29.9	30.7	26.4	27.1	28.1	20.2	23.6	20.3	22.1	20.6	25.9	25.7	26.2	28.0	25.4	29.5	23.6	18.2	23.2
	change (ft)*	0.0	-2.0	2.1	5.2	5.2	8.0	1.7	8.6	9.4	5.1	5.8	6.8	-1.1	2.2	-1.0	0.8	-0.7	4.6	4.4	4.9	6.7	4.1	8.2	2.3	-3.1	1.9
Evangeline	avg. WL (ft)	17.0	19.2	21.8	21.0	22.0	22.0	21.5	32.6	27.5	20.9	17.1	19.6	6.2	20.4	1.7	12.0	21.0	17.4	-3.5	15.4	15.9	12.1	13.0	15.0	0.7	-0.5
	change (ft)*	0.0	2.3	4.9	4.0	5.1	5.1	4.6	15.7	10.6	3.9	0.1	2.6	-10.7	3.4	-15.2	-4.9	4.1	0.4	-20.4	-1.5	-1.0	-4.9	-4.0	-2.0	-16.3	-17.5
Chicot & Evangeline	avg. WL (ft)	19.0	19.1	22.5	23.6	24.2	25.6	22.2	31.2	29.0	23.5	22.0	23.8	13.1	21.9	11.0	16.9	20.7	21.5	11.0	20.8	21.9	18.7	21.1	19.2	9.4	11.3
	change (ft)*	0.0	0.1	3.5	4.6	5.2	6.6	3.1	12.2	10.0	4.5	3.0	4.8	-5.9	2.8	-8.1	-2.1	1.7	2.5	-8.0	1.7	2.9	-0.3	2.1	0.2	-9.6	-7.7

* change is measured relative to the year 2000; avg WL is measured relative to mean sea level

The corrected, 5-year rolling average of water level change in the Chicot Aquifer of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System in Jackson County indicates that the recent trend is a recovery of 2.7 feet as compared to calendar year 2000.

The corrected, 5-year rolling average of water level change in the Evangeline Aquifer of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System in Jackson County indicates that the recent trend is a decline of 8.9 feet as compared to calendar year 2000.

The corrected, 5-year rolling average of water level changes of the Chicot and Evangeline Aquifers of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System in Jackson County indicates that the recent trend is a decline of 3.1 feet as compared to calendar year 2000.

Management Recommendation:

Move to affirm the conclusion adopted on April 16, 2026, by the Board of Directors, that the condition of the Gulf Coast Aquifer within Jackson County is in compliance with the GMA 15 desired future condition.

Item 6 - Groundwater Conservation Considerations

Item 7 - Groundwater Resource Planning Considerations

Topic 7.1 - Public Hearing regarding Proposed Amended Desired Future Condition for GMA 15

Management Discussion:

On April 7, 2026, the proposed desired future conditions were mailed to the districts marking the beginning of the public comment period for the member districts of Groundwater Management Area 15.

The proposed amended desired future condition for Groundwater Management Area 15 does not include revisions to the condition specified for Jackson County.

On May 13, 2026, the staff of the District completed the public notice requirements to conduct a public hearing to receive public comment and consider possible action on the proposed desired future conditions of Groundwater Management Area 15.

TGCD - GMA 15 DFC Hearing Notice - 20260716.pdf



File

Per 36.108(d-2) of the Texas Water Code, "A period of not less than 90 days for public comments begins on the day the proposed desired future conditions are mailed to the districts. During the public comment period and after posting notice as required by Section 36.063, each district shall hold a public hearing on any proposed desired future conditions relevant to that district. During the public comment period, the district shall make available in its office a copy of the proposed desired future conditions and any supporting materials, such as the documentation of factors considered under Subsection (d) and groundwater availability model run results. After the close of the public comment period, the district shall compile and submit to the district representatives for consideration at the next joint planning meeting: (1) a summary of relevant comments received; (2) any suggested revisions to the proposed desired future conditions, and the basis for those revisions; and (3) any supporting materials, including new or revised groundwater availability model run results."

Management Recommendation 1:

Move to:

1. open the Public Hearing regarding Proposed Amended Desired Future Condition for GMA 15; and
2. accept any public comments regarding the Public Hearing regarding Proposed Amended Desired Future Condition for GMA 15.

Management Recommendation 2:

Move to:

1. close the Public Hearing regarding Proposed Amended Desired Future Condition for GMA 15 after receiving all offered public comments; and

2. close the public comment period.

Management Recommendation 3:

Move to instruct the General Manager to compile and submit to the district representatives for consideration at the next joint planning meeting:

1. a summary of relevant comments received;
2. any suggested revisions to the proposed desired future conditions, and the basis for those revisions; and
3. any supporting materials, including new or revised groundwater availability model run results.

Item 8 - Groundwater Policy Considerations

Topic 8.1 - Brackish Groundwater Characterization and Rule Development

Management Discussion:

On June 16, 2026, the Board acted to request that Dr. Young prepare for and attend the District's meeting scheduled for July 16, 2026, to report on and discuss his work to support the district's efforts to develop deep-saline groundwater production regulations and rules.

On June 18, 2026, Intera, Inc. submitted a proposal in response to management's request for a project to simulate drawdowns resulting from deep-saline production scenarios that correspond to the recommended deep-saline production caps, recommended deep-saline special groundwater management zones, and recommended transmissivity classes. The cost of the proposed work is \$10,000 with resulting report being submitted before the next meeting of the directors scheduled for July 16, 2026.

VCGCD - Intera Proposal - Cumulative Effect Simulations - 20260618 .pdf



File

On June 22, 2026, the Board of the Calhoun County GCD acted to approve the *Proposal to perform additional simulations of brackish groundwater production in Calhoun, Jackson, Refugio, and Victoria Counties*, authorize the payment of the associated fees, and instruct the general manager to seek cost-sharing arrangements with cooperating districts. Victoria County GCD is scheduled to review the proposal, resulting report, and cost sharing requests on July 10, 2026.

Management Recommendation:

Move to 1) accept and approve the proposal, 2) share the cost for the project with the cooperating districts, 3) instruct the general manager to seek a cost-sharing arrangement with Refugio GCD.

Topic 8.2 - Rulemaking Hearing

Management Discussion:

On June 16, 2026, the Board of Directors instructed the General Manager to post a rulemaking notice regarding the 2026 Proposed Rules of the District.

On June 18, 2026, the public notice for the rulemaking hearing was posted on the website of the district with a copy of the proposed rules and a comparison document of the proposed rules to the current rules of the district.

On June 24, 2026, the public notice requirements for the rulemaking hearing were completed.

In order to assist the Board in its consideration of public comments regarding deep-saline non-historic-use production permitting a summary of substantive changes (additions, modification, and deletions) related to deep-saline non-historic-use production permitting in the 2026 Proposed Rules of the District has been developed.

DEFINITIONS

The proposed revisions to the following definitions represent substantive changes as compared to the existing definitions and fundamentally alter the regulatory framework associated with brackish groundwater permitting within the District: DEEP-SALINE SPECIAL GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT ZONE, DEEP-SALINE SPECIAL GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT ZONES, DEEP-SALINE TRANSMISSIVITY CATEGORY, and DEEP-SALINE TRANSMISSIVITY ZONES.

1. *DEEP-SALINE SPECIAL GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT ZONE means a special groundwater management zone designated by the district comprised of water-bearing strata at least 1,000 feet below the surface of the ground within the jurisdictional boundaries of the district that:*
 1. *contains saline groundwater existing below the middle depth between the 1,000 TDS contours and the 3,000 TDS contour as illustrated in Figure 1a, and*
 2. *where at least 100 feet of clay thickness composed from individual clay intervals of 10 feet or greater, as determined by interpretation of core samples or geophysical logs, exists between a) the top of 1,000 TDS contour illustrated in Figure 1 and b) the middle depth between the 1,000 TDS contours and the 3,000 TDS contour illustrated in Figure 1a.*
2. *DEEP-SALINE SPECIAL GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT ZONES designated by the district are limited to:*
 1. *SLIGHTLY SALINE GROUNDWATER ZONE means the deep-saline special groundwater management zone with groundwater with a total dissolved solids concentration 1) equal to or greater than two thousand milligrams per liter (2,000 mg/L) and 2) equal to or less than three thousand milligrams per liter (3,000 mg/L).*
 2. *MODERATELY SALINE GROUNDWATER ZONE means the deep-saline special groundwater management zone [with] groundwater with a total dissolved solids concentration 1) greater than three thousand milligrams per liter (3,000 mg/L) and 2) equal to or less than ten thousand milligrams per liter (10,000 mg/L).*
 - 3.

***EXTREMELY SALINE GROUNDWATER ZONE** means the deep-saline special groundwater management zone [with] groundwater with a total dissolved solids concentration 1) **greater than** ten thousand milligrams per liter (10,000 mg/L)*

The use of measured hydrogeological parameters (e.g., depth to slightly saline groundwater, depth to moderately saline groundwater, and sediment lithology) at a proposed production area to define the DSGMZ creates a flexible regulatory approach of establishing any particular deep-saline production zone at a production area and establishing the associated zone of protection by identifying the presence of aggregate clay barriers.

The use of calculated hydrogeological parameters (e.g., transmissivity) at a proposed production area to define the production ratios of a particular DSGMZ creates a flexible regulatory approach that accounts for a primary factor influencing the amount of impact (i.e. drawdown) anticipated by the production of groundwater from the DSGMZ at the specific production area.

Management's goal for recommending the proposed definitions was to articulate clear criteria for defining specific deep-saline special groundwater management zones (DSGMZs) using parameters that can be measured, sufficiently protect better-quality groundwater resources, and increase the certainty that deep-saline non-historic-use production permits issued will achieve the policy goal to increase the protection and conservation of shallower better-quality water through the incentivization of safe development of deep brackish groundwater resources.

SPACING LIMITATIONS

The proposed revisions to the well spacing limitations of deep-saline wells represent substantive changes as compared to the existing limitations as follows:

1. Under Rule 2.3(1), the required offset of a deep-saline well from the boundary of the contiguous tract of land ownership is increased from 0.5 feet to 1.0 feet per gallon per minute for each gallon per minute of groundwater production capacity of the deep-saline well.
2. Under Rule 2.3(1), the required offset of a deep-saline well from the boundary of the contiguous tract of groundwater ownership is increased from 0.5 feet to 1.0 feet per gallon per minute for each gallon per minute of groundwater production capacity of the deep-saline well.

Management's goal for recommending the proposed revisions was to avoid authorizing production of groundwater, through the issuance of a deep-saline non-historic use production permit and potentially greater impacts (e.g., increased encroachment on existing or future water well, increased proximity of production impacts such as drawdown or well interference) on adjacent landowner through deep-saline groundwater production than would be authorized under standard-capacity or high-capacity production permits.

PRODUCTION LIMITATIONS

The proposed revision to the aggregate production limitations of deep-saline groundwater by GROUNDWATER QUALITY CLASSIFICATIONS (production caps) represents substantive changes as compared to the existing limitations as follows:

1. Under Rule 6.4(2), the total volume of **slightly** saline groundwater production per year to be permitted in the District is set to **10,000** acre-feet per year.
2. Under Rule 6.4(2), the total volume of **moderately** saline groundwater production per year in the District is set to **7,000** acre-feet per year.
3. Under Rule 6.4(3), the total volume of **extremely** saline groundwater production per year in the District is set at **5,000** acre-feet per year.

With the proposed annual production volume for slightly, moderately, and extremely saline groundwater production, the combined proposed limits for deep-saline groundwater production within the District represents 22,000 acre-feet of groundwater production per year.

Management's goal for recommending the proposed revisions was to 1) avoid over-permitting deep-saline groundwater production that results in excessive regional groundwater production-related impacts such as significant wide-spread subsidence or wide-spread excessive drawdown, 2) avoid issuing deep-saline non-historic-use production permits under which the permitted production cannot be sustained and satisfy regional management goals such as achievement of desired future conditions (DFCs), and 3) avoid issuing unreliable production permits and the potential development of a district-level water crisis.

The proposed addition of production limitations for the initial 24-months of production under a deep-saline non-historic-use production permit represent substantive changes to regulations associated with deep-saline non-historic-use production permitting.

1. Under Rule 6.4(4), the maximum annual production of **slightly** saline groundwater during the initial **24-month period** of a deep-saline non-historic-use production permit is limited to **5,000** acre-feet per year.
2. Under Rule 6.4(5), the maximum annual production of **moderately** saline groundwater during the initial **24-month period** of a deep-saline non-historic-use production permit is limited to **3,500** acre-feet per year.
3. Under Rule 6.4(6), the maximum annual production of **extremely** saline groundwater during the initial **24-month period** of a deep-saline non-historic-use production permit is limited to **2,500** acre-feet per year.

Management's goal for recommending the proposed revisions was to avoid excessive or unanticipated impacts associated with intense, large-scale production authorized based limited data, modeling, and monitoring data associated with deep-saline production in accordance with the general understanding that deeper zones tend to have greater clay percentages under greater overburden pressures

that could lead to subsidence during intense and prolonged pumping (i.e., depressurization in the production zone).

The proposed additions of production limit reductions of cumulative authorized production amounts for existing and future non-historic production permits with overlapping production areas with deep-saline non-historic-use production permits represent substantive changes to regulations associated with deep-saline groundwater production permitting.

1. Under Rule 6.4(8), the volume of groundwater production authorized under existing and future non-historic-use production permits with production areas that intersect the production area of a deep-saline non-historic-use production area for **slightly** saline groundwater, other than the volumes authorized under the deep-saline non-historic-use production permits, would be reduced by **20%**.
2. Under Rule 6.4(9), the volume of groundwater production authorized under existing and future non-historic-use production permits with production areas that intersect the production area of a deep-saline non-historic-use production area for **moderately** saline groundwater, other than the volumes authorized under the deep-saline non-historic-use production permits, would be reduced by **10%**.
3. Under Rule 6.4(10), the volume of groundwater production authorized under existing and future non-historic-use production permits with production areas that intersect the production area of a deep-saline non-historic-use production area for **extremely** saline groundwater, other than the volumes authorized under the deep-saline non-historic-use production permits, would be reduced by **5%**.

Management's goal for recommending the proposed revisions was to 1) establish a minimum level of conservation to be achieved through the incentivization of deep-saline groundwater production, and 2) establish increasing incentives for the production of deeper, poorer-quality groundwater.

The proposed revisions to define deep-saline groundwater production ratios (i.e., acre-feet/acre controlled production ratios) based on groundwater quality classification and transmissivity categories represent substantive changes to regulations associated with deep-saline non-historic-use production permitting.

1. Under Rule 6.4.1(2-4), the groundwater production ratio for production areas associated with **slightly** saline deep-saline non-historic-use production permits would be set to a range of **4 to 8 acre-feet** of groundwater production per acre per year depending on the designated transmissivity category established for the DSGMZ.
2. Under Rule 6.4.1(5-7), the groundwater production ratio for production areas associated with **moderately** saline deep-saline non-historic-use production permits would be set to a range of **6 to 10 acre-feet** of groundwater production per acre per year depending on the designated transmissivity category established for the DSGMZ.
- 3.

Under Rule 6.4.1(8-10), the groundwater production ratio for production areas associated with **extremely** saline deep-saline non-historic-use production permits would be set to a range of **8 to 10 acre-feet** of groundwater production per acre per year depending on the designated transmissivity category established for the DSGMZ.

Production Ratio Matrix based on 2026 Proposed Rules of the District

		Better-Quality ---> Poorer-Quality		
	Salinity - Transmissivity Ratio Matrix	Slightly Saline DSGMZ	Moderate Saline DSGMZ	Extremely Saline DSGMZ
Greater Spatial Production Impacts ---> Smaller Spatial Production Impacts per Unit of Production	Low Transmissivity Zone	Rule 6.4.1(2): 4 AFY	Rule 6.4.1(5): 6 AFY	Rule 6.4.1(8): 8 AFY
	Moderate Transmissivity Zone	Rule 6.4.1(3): 6 AFY	Rule 6.4.1(6): 8 AFY	Rule 6.4.1(9): 9 AFY
	High Transmissivity Zone	Rule 6.4.1(4): 8 AFY	Rule 6.4.1(7): 10 AFY	Rule 6.4.1(10): 10 AFY

Management's goal for recommending the proposed revisions was to 1) create a progressive incentivization for the development of poorer-quality groundwater relative better-quality groundwater while recognizing the inverse relationship between transmissivity and size and extent of cones of depression caused by groundwater production.

PERFORMANCE CONDITIONS

The proposed revisions to performance conditions represent substantive changes to regulations associated with deep-saline non-historic-use production permitting.

1. Under Rule 6.4.(12)(12.3), the maximum level of impact (i.e., increase in TDS concentrations) observed in **slightly** saline DSGMZ would be reduced to **3,000 mg/L** to align with the definition of GROUNDWATER QUALITY CLASSIFICATION.
2. Under Rule 6.4.(12)(12.4), the maximum level of impact (i.e., increase in TDS concentrations) observed in **moderately** saline DSGMZ would be reduced to **10,000 mg/L** to align with the definition of GROUNDWATER QUALITY CLASSIFICATION.

Management's goal for recommending the proposed revisions was to properly limit the amount of change, in terms of maximum TDS concentrations, that can result from the groundwater production under a deep-saline non-historic-use production permit issued by the District.

MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The proposed revisions related to monitoring requirements represent substantive changes to regulations associated with deep-saline non-historic-use production permitting.

1. Under Rule 6.4.3(1), the minimum number of dedicated aquifer monitoring wells is increased from 1 to **3 wells**.

Management's goal for recommending the proposed revisions was to ensure that the monitoring efforts associated with deep-saline non-historic-use bound and monitor the perimeter of the associated production area.

PERFORMANCE RESPONSES

The proposed revisions related performance responses represent substantive changes to regulations associated with deep-saline non-historic-use production permitting.

1. Under Rule 6.4.4(1)(1.1), groundwater owners and well operators associated with a deep-saline non-historic-use production permit must submit hydrogeological report assessing the degree on cause or contribution to drawdown when the drawdown levels in fresh groundwater monitoring wells exceed drawdown performance conditions.
2. Under Rule 6.4.4(1)(1.2), groundwater owners and well operators associated with a deep-saline non-historic-use production permit must adjust groundwater production to prevent fresh drawdown in fresh groundwater monitoring wells from exceeding **20 feet** when drawdowns reach **15 feet** relative to initially measured conditions.
3. Under Rule 6.4.4(1)(1.3), groundwater owners and well operators associated with a deep-saline non-historic-use production permit must reduce non-exempt-use groundwater production located within the production permit area of a deep-saline non-historic-use production permit in subsequent reporting periods to the lesser of **80%** of volume produced in the subject reporting period or the remainder of the unpumped annual production volume when performance conditions are not achieved for **2 or more** consecutive reporting periods (i.e., 6 months of continuous failure to achieve the performance conditions).
4. Under Rule 6.4.4(1)(1.4), groundwater owners and well operators associated with a deep-saline non-historic-use production permit must reduce non-exempt-use groundwater production located within the production permit area of a deep-saline non-historic-use production permit in subsequent reporting periods to **1%** of volume produced in the preceding reporting period when performance conditions are not achieved for **8 or more** consecutive reporting periods (i.e., 2 years of continuous failure to achieve the performance conditions).
5. Under Rule 6.4.4(2)(2.1), groundwater owners and well operators associated with a deep-saline non-historic-use production permit may increase non-exempt-use groundwater production located within the production permit area of a deep-saline non-historic-use production permit in subsequent reporting periods to

110% of volume produced in the subject reporting period or the remainder of the unpumped annual production volume when performance conditions are achieved for **2 or more** consecutive reporting periods (i.e., 6 months of continuous success to achieve the performance conditions).

6. Under Rule 6.4.4(2)(2.1), groundwater owners and well operators associated with a deep-saline non-historic-use production permit may increase non-exempt-use groundwater production located within the production permit area of a deep-saline non-historic-use production permit in subsequent reporting periods to **100%** of annual production volume when performance conditions are achieved for **8 or more** consecutive reporting periods (i.e., 2 years of continuous success to achieve the performance conditions).

Management's goal for recommending the proposed revisions was to develop a simple production curtailment and production restoration system to address repeated failure to achieve performance conditions and repeated success in achieving performance conditions.

PERMITTING PROCEDURES

The proposed revisions related to the permitting procedures represent substantive changes to regulations associated with deep-saline non-historic-use production permitting.

Under Rule 6.4.2, applicants for deep-saline non-historic-use production permits must develop and submit of required technical information and operation plans regarding aquifer characteristics present at a proposed production area of a deep-saline non-historic-use production permit with the permit application instead of deferring the development and submittal of the required technical information and operation plans to after the initial permit approval and prior to operation of the associated deep-saline production well(s).

Management's goal for recommending the proposed revisions was to increase the certainty/confidence that deep-saline non-historic-use production permits issued will achieve the policy goal to increase the protection and conservation of shallower better-quality water through the incentivization of safe development of deep brackish groundwater resources.

The following revisions to the 2026 Proposed Rules of the District are recommended by management:

1. The definition DEEP-SALINE SPECIAL GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT ZONES should be modified to read as follows: "designated by the district are limited to:
 - a. SLIGHTLY SALINE GROUNDWATER ZONE means the deep-saline special groundwater management zone with groundwater with a total dissolved solids concentration 1) equal to or greater than two thousand milligrams per liter (2,000 mg/L) and 2) equal to or less than three thousand milligrams per liter (3,000 mg/L).) with a spatial extent illustrated in Figure 4.
 - b.

MODERATELY SALINE GROUNDWATER ZONE means the deep-saline special groundwater management zone with groundwater with a total dissolved solids concentration 1) greater than three thousand milligrams per liter (3,000 mg/L) and 2) equal to or less than ten thousand milligrams per liter (10,000 mg/L)-.) with a spatial extent illustrated in Figure 5.

- c. EXTREMELY SALINE GROUNDWATER ZONE means the deep-saline special groundwater management zone with groundwater with a total dissolved solids concentration 1) greater than ten thousand milligrams per liter (10,000 mg/L)-.) with a spatial extent illustrated in Figure 6."
 2. Rule 2.4(9) should be revised to read as follows: "A person drilling a deep-saline well shall not install the well screen of the well above the middle depth between the top of the 1,000 mg/L TDS groundwater-bearing stratum and the 3,000 mg/L TDS groundwater-bearing stratum ~~a depth of one thousand two hundred feet (1,200 feet) below the land surface.~~"
 3. Rule 2.4 should be revised to add item (10) that reads as follows: "A person drilling a deep-saline well shall seal the annular space between the wall of the borehole and casing from the top of the 1,000 mg/L TDS groundwater-bearing stratum to the middle depth between the top of the 1,000 mg/L TDS groundwater-bearing stratum and the 3,000 mg/L TDS groundwater-bearing stratum."
 4. Rule 4.3(10) should be revised to read as follows: "~~10.~~The applicant, by failing to provide the information requested by the general manager needed to fully evaluate the application relative to the rules of the district within 60 days, causes the application to be automatically terminated."
 5. Rule 6.2.1(1) should be revised to add item 1.17 that reads as follows: "a statement certifying, under penalty of law, that the applicant shall avoid waste and achieve water conservation and that reasonable diligence will be used to protect groundwater quality and that the applicant will follow well plugging guidelines at the time of well closure; and"
 6. Rule 6.4(12)(12.5) should be clarified as follows: "the amount of drawdown attributed from the operation to the operation of the subject well or subject well field, as determined from the modeled drawdown and the monitored water levels, calculated for any reporting period, shall not exceed ten feet (10 feet) at any well outside the production area, registered with the district on or before the date of issuance of the production permit, relative to the initial average aquifer conditions established under the production permit for deep-saline non-historic use;"
 7. Add Figure 4: Spatial Extent of the Slightly Saline Groundwater Zone
 8. Add Figure 5: Spatial Extent of the Moderately Saline Groundwater Zone
 9. Add Figure 6: Spatial Extent of the Extremely Saline Groundwater Zone
- DSGMZ - TGCD Slightly Saline Groundwater Zone - Spatial Extent.pdf



Management Recommendation 1:

Move to open the public hearing regarding the 2026 Proposed Rules of the District and accept public comment.

Management Recommendation 2:

Move to close the public hearing regarding the 2026 Proposed Rules of the District after receiving public comments.

Management Recommendation 3:

If the District DID NOT RECEIVE a request before or during the public hearing regarding the 2026 Proposed Rules of the District to consider particular revisions to the 2026 Proposed Rules of the District from the public, move to:

1. close the public hearing regarding the 2026 Proposed Rules of the District,
2. adopt the 2026 Proposed Rules of the District as the Rules of the District,
3. terminate the moratorium adopted under Resolution and Order RES-20260616-01,
4. designate the Rules of the District with the management-recommended revisions described above as the "2026 Proposed Rules of the District - Version 20260716", and
5. instruct the General Manager to post a notice for a rulemaking hearing to receive public comment and consider the adoption of the 2026 Proposed Rules of the District - Version 20260716 for the district meeting scheduled for October 15, 2026.

If the District RECEIVED a request before or during the public hearing regarding the 2026 Proposed Rules of the District to consider particular revisions to the 2026 Proposed Rules of the District from the public, move to:

1. recess and hold open the public hearing regarding the 2026 Proposed Rules of the District,
2. designate the 2026 Proposed Rules of the District with the management-recommended revisions described above as the "2026 Proposed Rules of the District - Version 20260716", and
3. instruct the General Manager to post a notice for the continuation of the rulemaking hearing to receive public comment and consider the adoption of the 2026 Proposed Rules of the District - Version 20260716 for the district meeting scheduled for October 15, 2026.

Item 9 - Administration and Management Considerations

Topic 9.1 - Minutes of Previous Meeting

Management Discussion:

The minutes for the previous meeting were drafted by the Administrative Coordinator, reviewed by the alternate Administrative Coordinator, and available to the directors for review prior to the meeting. The minutes appear to accurately reflect the actions taken by the board and the context in which those actions were taken. The minutes for the previous meeting were sent to the board members prior to the meeting.

TGCD - Meeting Minutes - 20260416 - Final.pdf



File

TGCD - Meeting Minutes - 20260616.pdf



File

On July 7, 2026, Mr. Boone suggested several revisions to the draft minutes of the April 14, 2026 meeting and identified a significant error in the management discussion presented to the Board in the meeting packet for the meeting held on April 16, 2026. In particular, the manager's discussion regarding the 5-year rolling averages of water level changes calculated from values within Table 3 from the INTERA report titled Application of Geostatistical Techniques to Interpret Measured 2024 and 2025 Water Levels were erroneous.

TGCD - Meeting Minutes - 20260616 - Revised draft.pdf



File

Management Recommendation:

Move to accept and approve meeting minutes, with appropriate revisions, for April 16, 2026, and June 16, 2026.

Topic 9.2 - Investments of the District

Management Discussion:

The investment report for March, April, and May 2026 have been developed by Lisa Ramirez, Administrator Coordinator and reviewed by Caitlynn Davenport, Administrative Coordinator.

TGCD - Investment Report - IR - 202600331-01 - Final.pdf



File

TGCD - Investment Report - IR-20260430-01 - Final.pdf



File

TGCD - Investment Report - IR - 20260531-01 - Final.pdf



File

The balance of all funds of the district as of May 2026, was \$1,194,151.32.

Management Recommendation:

Move to accept the investment reports for March, April, and May 2026.

Topic 9.3 - Financial Transaction Report

Management Discussion:

The list below identifies each accounts payable transaction that was recorded since April 1, 2026, as of July 16, 2026:

1. ACCTP-20260401-01 - \$280.00 - ABM, LLC - Sept. 2026 Legal Consultation
2. ACCTP-20260407-01 - \$31,500.00 - VCGCD - ILA-202604-01-R - RR Fees 2027 2nd Qtr. 2027
3. ACCTP-20260409-01 - \$1,425.23 - VCGCD - ILA-202204-02-T - Dec'25-Feb'26 Reimb.
4. ACCTP-20260409-02 - \$16,520.30 - Inv. 12881924 - Solinst Canada, Ltd. - Aquifer Monitoring Equipment
5. ACCTP-20260410-01 - \$100,000.00 - Transfer from 9448 to 7512
6. ACCTP-20260416-01 - \$250.00 - CK - A. Tupa - Jan. Board Meeting 20260115
7. ACCTP-20260416-02 - \$250.00 CK - R. Gendke - April Board Meeting
8. ACCTP-20260416-03 - \$250.00 CK - C. Born - April Board Meeting
9. ACCTP-20260416-04 - \$1,988.03 - JC Appraisal District
10. ACCTP-20260428-01 - \$300,000.00 - Transfer - Prosperity-9448 to TexPool
11. ACCTP-20260508-01 - \$414.25 - Victoria Advocate- GMA-15 Public Hearing
12. ACCTP-20260602-01 - \$2,145.30 - JC Appraisal District - 2026 3rd Qtr. Allocations
13. ACCTP-20260716-01 - \$250.00 - A. Tupa - June Board Meeting
14. ACCTP-20260716-02 - \$250.00 - C. Born - June Board Meeting
15. ACCTP-20260716-03 - \$250.00 - A. Tupa - July Board Meeting
16. ACCTP-20260716-04 - \$250.00 - C. Born - July Board Meeting
17. ACCTP-20260716-05 - \$250.00 - R. Gendke Jr. - July Board Meeting
18. ACCTP-20260716-07 - \$175.60 - Victoria Adv. - Public Hearing 2026 Proposed Rule
19. ACCTP-20260716-08 - \$168.05 - Victoria Adv. - PRC-20260427-01 - B. Leita
20. ACCTP-20260716-09 - \$2,400.00 - CivicPlus - 2026-2027 DocAccess

The list below identifies each accounts receivable transaction that was recorded since April 1, 2026, as of July 16, 2026:

1. ACCTR-20260402-01 - \$852.45 - Alcoa No. 4 Transport Permit - 2025 TP-20130516-02
2. ACCTR-20260409-01 - \$4,803.97 - JC Tax Assessor - March 2026 Tax Collection
3. ACCTR-20260410-01 - \$100,000.00 - Prosperity Bank - Transfer to 7512 from 9448
4. ACCTR-20260428-01 - \$300,000.00 - Transfer to TexPool-449-8105900001
5. ACCTR-20260430-01 - \$75.07 - Prosperity Bank-7512 - April Interest
6. ACCTR-20260430-02 - \$1,238.98 - Prosperity Bank-9448 - April Interest
7. ACCTR-20260430-03 - \$918.36 - TexPool-0001 - April Interest
8. ACCTR-20260506-01 - \$1,522.84 - JC Tax Assessor - May 2026 Collection
9. ACCTR-20260529-01 - \$49.02 - Prosperity Bank-7512 - May 2026 Interest
10. ACCTR-20260529-02 - \$376.93 - Prosperity Bank - May 2026 Interest
11. ACCTR-20260529-03 - \$1,866.28 - TexPool - May 2026 Interest

12. ACCTR-20260602-01 - \$150.00 - Wise Asset No. 14, Ltd - AVHUW-20260527-01 - Historic Use
13. ACCTR-20260602-02 - \$150.00 - Wise Asset No. 5, Ltd. - AVHUW-20260528-05 - Historic Use
14. ACCTR-20260602-03 - \$150.00 - AVHUW-20260528-01- Wise Asset No. 1, Ltd - Historic Usage
15. ACCTR-20260602-04 - \$150.00 - AVHUW-20260528-04 - Wise Asset No. 1, LTD - Historic Usage
16. ACCTR-20260602-05 - \$150.00 - AVHUW-20260528-02 - Wise Asset No. 1, Ltd - Historic Usage
17. ACCTR-20260602-06 - \$150.00 - AVHUW-20260528-03 - Wise Asset No. 1, Historic Usage
18. ACCTR-20260602-07 - \$150.00 - AVHUW-20260528-06 - Wise Asset No. 5, Ltd - Historic Usage
19. ACCTR-20260602-08 - \$150.00 - AVHUW-20260528-07 - Wise Asset No. 5 Ltd
20. ACCTR-20260605-01 - \$312.89 - JC Tax Assessor Collector - May Collection
21. ACCTR-20260611-01 - \$150.00 - Edgar Erwin - Historical Use
22. ACCTR-20260702-01 - \$4,070.88 - JC Tax Assessor Collector - June Collections

Topic 9.4 - Financial Reports of the District

Management Discussion:

The internal financial report of the District for March, April, and May, 2026 have been compiled by the district administrative coordinator and sent to the directors prior to the meeting.

TGCD - Financial Transaction Registry - FY2026 - 20250331.pdf



File

TGCD - Financial Transaction Registry - FY2026 - 20250430.pdf



File

TGCD - Financial Transaction Registry - FY2026 - 20250531-Final.pdf



File

TGCD - Adm - FM - Internal Control Review Report - ICRR-20260331-01 - March 31, 2026.pdf



File

TGCD - Adm - FM - Internal Control Review Report - ICRR-20260430-01 - April 30, 2026.pdf



File

TGCD - Adm - FM - Internal Control Review Report - ICRR-20260531-01 - May 31, 2026.pdf

Management Recommendation:

Move to accept and approve the financial reports for March, April, and May 2026.

Topic 9.5 - Unpaid Invoices and Bills**Management Discussion:**

The District has outstanding bills and invoices that are NOT considered regular and routine nor has the Board previously authorized the requested payment.

1. ACCTP-20260716-01 - \$250.00 - A. Tupa - June Board Meeting
2. ACCTP-20260716-02 - \$250.00 - C. Born - June Board Meeting
3. ACCTP-20260716-03 - \$250.00 - A. Tupa - July Board Meeting
4. ACCTP-20260716-04 - \$250.00 - C. Born - July Board Meeting
5. ACCTP-20260716-05 - \$250.00 - R. Gendke Jr. - July Board Meeting

Management Recommendation:

Move to authorize the general manager to pay the following items:

1. ACCTP-20260716-01 - \$250.00 - A. Tupa - June Board Meeting
2. ACCTP-20260716-02 - \$250.00 - C. Born - June Board Meeting
3. ACCTP-20260716-03 - \$250.00 - A. Tupa - July Board Meeting
4. ACCTP-20260716-04 - \$250.00 - C. Born - July Board Meeting
5. ACCTP-20260716-05 - \$250.00 - R. Gendke Jr. - July Board Meeting

Topic 9.6 - Interlocal Agreement for Tax Assessment and Collection**Management Discussion:**

On May 18, 2026, staff received a copy of the interlocal cooperation agreement from the Jackson County Tax Assessor-Collector regarding tax collection services for Tax Year 2026.

TGCD - JCTAC - Memo 2026 Budget Contractual Agreement - 2025 Levy Revenue.pdf

TGCD - JCTAC - 2026-2027 Interlocal Cooperation Agreement.pdf

Management Recommendation:

Move to authorize the presiding officer to execute the agreement with the Jackson County Tax Assessor-Collector for Tax Year 2026.

Topic 9.7 - Budget Planning and Tax Rates**Management Discussion:**

To assist the Board in its efforts to allocate the budget for FY2027 and to adopt a budget during the meeting scheduled for August 7, 2026, staff provides the District's FY2026 budget and descriptions of the FY2026 project for review.

TGCD - FY2026 Budget - Adopted 20250828.pdf



Management Recommendation:

If the Board intends to propose and adopt a tax rate that is less than the no-new-revenue tax rate and less than the voter-approval tax rate, move to instruct the General Manager to:

1. develop and present a draft budget with an estimated tax levy for Tax Year 2026 based on the no-new-revenue tax rate at the meeting of the Board of Directors scheduled in August 2026,
2. publish the Notice of Tax Rate (Texas Comptroller Form 50-212, *Notice of Tax Rate*) as soon as possible, and
3. schedule and prepare for the consideration of and action on the adoption of the maximum tax rate that is less than the no-new-revenue tax rate and less than the voter-approval tax rate as calculate by the Tax Assessor-Collect for the District.

Item 10.0 - Legal Counsel Report

Item 11.0 - Adjourn Meeting

Management Recommendation:

Move to adjourn the meeting after concluding all business of the District.

Template